

Quasi-Frobenius algebras and their integrable N -parametric deformations generated by compatible ($N \times N$)-metrics of constant Riemannian curvature¹

O. I. Mokhov

1 Introduction

In this paper, we prove that the description of pencils of compatible ($N \times N$)-metrics of constant Riemannian curvature is equivalent to a special class of integrable N -parametric deformations of quasi-Frobenius (in general, noncommutative) algebras.

A finite-dimensional algebra $\mathcal{Q}$ is said to be *quasi-Frobenius* if the identity

$$(ab)c = (ac)b, \quad a, b, c \in \mathcal{Q} \quad (1.1)$$

is fulfilled and also an invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle a, b \rangle$ is given,

$$\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle a, cb \rangle, \quad \langle a, b \rangle = \langle b, a \rangle, \quad a, b, c \in \mathcal{Q}. \quad (1.2)$$

Recall that a finite-dimensional commutative associative algebra equipped with an invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form is called a *Frobenius algebra* (here, we do not require an existence of a unit in Frobenius algebra). Any commutative quasi-Frobenius algebra is always Frobenius, i.e., if the identity $ab = ba$ (*commutativity*) is fulfilled in a quasi-Frobenius algebra, then the identities

$$(ab)c = a(bc) \quad (\text{associativity}), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle a, bc \rangle \quad (\text{invariance of bilinear form}) \quad (1.4)$$

are also always fulfilled in the algebra.

Identity (1.1) meaning commutativity of the operators of right-sided multiplication in the algebra: $R_a R_b = R_b R_a$, where $R_a b = ba$, naturally arises as one of identities in algebras describing some special classes of first-order Poisson brackets linear in field variables (see [1]–[3]). The theory of left-symmetric algebras with the additional identity (1.1), which correspond to linear one-dimensional Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type and are called *Novikov algebras*, was developed in [4]–[6] (*left-symmetric algebras* or *Vinberg algebras*, i.e., algebras with the identity $a(bc) - (ab)c = b(ac) - (ba)c$ or, equivalently, $L_a L_b - L_b L_a = L_{ab-ba}$, where $L_a b = ab$, were considered in [7]). Identity (1.1) naturally

¹This work was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (Germany), the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant No. 02-01-00803), and INTAS (Grant No. 99-1782).

arises also in algebras describing all multidimensional Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type (the algebras were studied by the present author in [8]).

Introducing the considered in this paper notion of quasi-Frobenius algebras is motivated by Dubrovin's theory of Frobenius manifolds [9] and by a natural generalization of Frobenius manifolds (quasi-Frobenius manifolds) that is related to arbitrary flat pencils of metrics [10], see also [11], [12]. Dubrovin proved that two-dimensional topological field theories (Frobenius manifolds) correspond to a special class of integrable deformations of Frobenius algebras, and also to a special class of quasihomogeneous flat pencils of metrics (see [9], [13]). General flat pencils of metrics locally correspond to quasi-Frobenius manifolds (manifolds with quasi-Frobenius structure on tangent spaces, see [10]) and, respectively, to special deformations of quasi-Frobenius algebras. Integrability of nonlinear equations describing these deformations of quasi-Frobenius algebras was proved by the present author in [14], [15] by the method of the inverse scattering problem, see also [11], [16], [17] (in [18], a Lax pair for these equations was also indicated). In the present work, we prove that pencils of compatible metrics of constant Riemannian curvature also generate special integrable deformations of quasi-Frobenius algebras. We very hope that integrable deformations of quasi-Frobenius algebras, constructed in the paper, will also prove to be useful in two-dimensional topological field theories. In particular, we conjecture that the deformations of noncommutative associative algebras, recently found by S.M. Natanzon in [19] (see also [20]) and corresponding to open-closed two-dimensional topological field theories, are integrable by the method of the inverse scattering problem and are special reductions of the considered class of integrable deformations of noncommutative quasi-Frobenius algebras. In this connection, the study of deformations of noncommutative quasi-Frobenius algebras and, especially, the extraction of associative deformations among them is of a great interest.

2 Compatible metrics of constant Riemannian curvature

Recall that two pseudo-Riemannian contravariant metrics $g_1^{ij}(u)$ and $g_2^{ij}(u)$ are said to be *compatible* if for any linear combination $g^{ij}(u) = \lambda_1 g_1^{ij}(u) + \lambda_2 g_2^{ij}(u)$ of these metrics, where λ_1 and λ_2 are arbitrary constants such that $\det(g^{ij}(u)) \neq 0$, the coefficients of the corresponding Levi-Civita connections and the components of the corresponding Riemannian curvature tensors are related by the same linear formula: $\Gamma_k^{ij}(u) = \lambda_1 \Gamma_{1,k}^{ij}(u) + \lambda_2 \Gamma_{2,k}^{ij}(u)$ and $R_{kl}^{ij}(u) = \lambda_1 R_{1,kl}^{ij}(u) + \lambda_2 R_{2,kl}^{ij}(u)$ (in this case, we also say that *the metrics $g_1^{ij}(u)$ and $g_2^{ij}(u)$ form a pencil of compatible metrics*) [17], [21]. Flat pencils of metrics, which are none other than compatible nondegenerate local Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type (compatible Dubrovin–Novikov brackets [22]), were introduced in [9]. Two pseudo-Riemannian contravariant metrics $g_1^{ij}(u)$ and $g_2^{ij}(u)$ of constant Riemannian curvatures K_1 and K_2 , respectively, are said to be *compatible* if any linear combination $g^{ij}(u) = \lambda_1 g_1^{ij}(u) + \lambda_2 g_2^{ij}(u)$ of these metrics, where λ_1 and λ_2 are arbitrary constants such that $\det(g^{ij}(u)) \neq 0$, is a metric of

constant Riemannian curvature $\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2$, and the coefficients of the corresponding Levi-Civita connections are related by the same linear formula: $\Gamma_k^{ij}(u) = \lambda_1 \Gamma_{1,k}^{ij}(u) + \lambda_2 \Gamma_{2,k}^{ij}(u)$ [17], [21]. In this case, we also say that *the metrics $g_1^{ij}(u)$ and $g_2^{ij}(u)$ form a pencil of compatible metrics of constant Riemannian metrics* [17], [21]. It is obvious that all these definitions are consistent with one another: if compatible metrics are metrics of constant Riemannian curvature, then they form a pencil of compatible metrics of constant Riemannian curvature, and if compatible metrics are flat, then they form a flat pencil of metrics.

In [23] nonlocal Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type that have the following form (the Mokhov–Ferapontov brackets):

$$\{I, J\} = \int \frac{\delta I}{\delta u^i(x)} \left(g^{ij}(u(x)) \frac{d}{dx} + b_k^{ij}(u(x)) u_x^k + K u_x^i \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} u_x^j \right) \frac{\delta J}{\delta u^j(x)} dx, \quad (2.1)$$

where $I[u]$ and $J[u]$ are arbitrary functionals on the space of functions (fields) $u^i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, of one independent variable x , $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$ are local coordinates on a certain given smooth N -dimensional manifold M , the coefficients $g^{ij}(u)$ and $b_k^{ij}(u)$ of bracket (2.1) are smooth functions of local coordinates, K is an arbitrary constant, were introduced and studied. These nonlocal Poisson brackets play an important role in the theory of systems of hydrodynamic type. The form of bracket (2.1) is invariant with respect to local changes of coordinates. A bracket of form (2.1) is said to be *nondegenerate* if $\det(g^{ij}(u)) \neq 0$. If $\det(g^{ij}(u)) \neq 0$, then bracket (2.1) is a Poisson bracket if and only if $g^{ij}(u)$ is an arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian contravariant metric of constant Riemannian curvature K , $b_k^{ij}(u) = -g^{is}(u) \Gamma_{sk}^j(u)$, where $\Gamma_{sk}^j(u)$ is the Riemannian connection generated by the metric $g^{ij}(u)$ (the Levi–Civita connection) [23] (note that the coefficients $g^{ij}(u)$ and $b_k^{ij}(u)$ of bracket (2.1) are transformed as corresponding differential-geometric objects under local changes of coordinates: a contravariant metric $g^{ij}(u)$ and a connection $b_k^{ij}(u) = -g^{is}(u) \Gamma_{sk}^j(u)$, respectively, K is an invariant). For $K = 0$ we have the local Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type (the Dubrovin–Novikov brackets [22]).

The description problem for compatible metrics of constant Riemannian curvature is equivalent to that for compatible nonlocal Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type generated by metrics of constant Riemannian curvature (compatible Mokhov–Ferapontov brackets). Recall that Poisson brackets are said to be *compatible* if their linear combination is also a Poisson bracket (Magri, [24]). As was shown in [25], [26] (see also [17], [27], [28]), compatible Mokhov–Ferapontov brackets are described by a consistent nonlinear system integrable by the method of the inverse scattering problem (the case of compatible Dubrovin–Novikov brackets, i.e., compatible local Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, was integrated by the author earlier in [14], [15], see also [17], [18]).

3 Compatible nonlocal Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type

Lemma 3.1 *In the classification problem for an arbitrary pair of compatible nonlocal Poisson brackets of form (2.1), one can always consider one of these two Poisson brackets as local without loss of generality.*

Actually, if two compatible nonlocal Poisson brackets of form (2.1) $\{I, J\}_0$ (with a corresponding constant K_0 in the nonlocal term) and $\{I, J\}_1$ (with a constant K_1) are linear independent, then in the pencil of these Poisson brackets, i.e., among the Poisson brackets $\lambda_0\{I, J\}_0 + \lambda_1\{I, J\}_1$, where λ_0 and λ_1 are arbitrary constants, there is necessarily a nonzero local Poisson bracket $\{I, J\} = \lambda'_0\{I, J\}_0 + \lambda'_1\{I, J\}_1$, where λ'_0 and λ'_1 are arbitrary constants satisfying the relation $\lambda_0 K_0 + \lambda_1 K_1 = 0$, which can be taken as one of the generators for all the considered pencil of compatible Poisson brackets (it is obvious that if this local Poisson bracket $\{I, J\}$ is identically zero, then the Poisson brackets $\{I, J\}_0$ and $\{I, J\}_1$ are linearly dependent: $\lambda'_0\{I, J\}_0 + \lambda'_1\{I, J\}_1 \equiv 0$).

Consider the problem of compatibility for a pair of nonlocal and local Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type

$$\{I, J\}_1 = \int \frac{\delta I}{\delta u^i(x)} \left(g_1^{ij}(u(x)) \frac{d}{dx} + b_{1,k}^{ij}(u(x)) u_x^k + K_1 u_x^i \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} u_x^j \right) \frac{\delta J}{\delta u^j(x)} dx \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\{I, J\}_2 = \int \frac{\delta I}{\delta u^i(x)} \left(g_2^{ij}(u(x)) \frac{d}{dx} + b_{2,k}^{ij}(u(x)) u_x^k \right) \frac{\delta J}{\delta u^j(x)} dx, \quad (3.2)$$

i.e., the condition that for any constant λ the bracket

$$\{I, J\} = \{I, J\}_1 + \lambda \{I, J\}_2 \quad (3.3)$$

is a Poisson bracket (thus, formula (3.3) defines a *pencil of compatible Poisson brackets*).

We assume further that the local bracket $\{I, J\}_2$ is nondegenerate, i.e., $\det(g_2^{ij}(u)) \neq 0$, but we do not impose any additional conditions on the bracket $\{I, J\}_1$, i.e., generally speaking, this bracket may be degenerate. Bracket (3.3) can be degenerate, therefore here we indicate the general relations for the coefficients of a bracket of form (2.1) which are equivalent to the condition that bracket (2.1) is a Poisson bracket. These general relations (without the assumption of nondegeneracy) were obtained in the present author's work [29] (see also [30], [31]):

$$g^{ij}(u) = g^{ji}(u), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial u^k} = b_k^{ij}(u) + b_k^{ji}(u), \quad (3.5)$$

$$g^{is}(u) b_s^{jr}(u) = g^{js}(u) b_s^{ir}(u), \quad (3.6)$$

$$g^{is}(u) \left(\frac{\partial b_s^{jr}}{\partial u^k} - \frac{\partial b_k^{jr}}{\partial u^s} \right) + b_s^{ij}(u) b_k^{sr}(u) - b_s^{ir}(u) b_k^{sj}(u) = K(g^{ir}(u) \delta_k^j - g^{ij}(u) \delta_k^r), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\sum_{(i,j,r)} \left[b_p^{si}(u) \left(\frac{\partial b_k^{jr}}{\partial u^s} - \frac{\partial b_s^{jr}}{\partial u^k} \right) + K(b_k^{ij}(u) - b_k^{ji}(u)) \delta_p^r + \right. \\ \left. b_k^{si}(u) \left(\frac{\partial b_p^{jr}}{\partial u^s} - \frac{\partial b_s^{jr}}{\partial u^p} \right) + K(b_p^{ij}(u) - b_p^{ji}(u)) \delta_k^r \right] = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\sum_{(i,j,r)}$ means summation over all cyclic permutations of the indices i, j, r .

4 Canonical form for compatible pairs of brackets

According to the Dubrovin–Novikov theorem [22], for any nondegenerate local Poisson bracket of hydrodynamic type $\{I, J\}_2$, there always exist local coordinates $u^1, \dots, u^N$ (flat coordinates of the metric $g_2^{ij}(u)$) in which this bracket is constant, i.e., $g_2^{ij}(u) = \eta^{ij} = \text{const}$, $b_{2,k}^{ij}(u) = \Gamma_{2,jk}^i(u) = 0$. Thus we can choose flat coordinates of the metric $g_2^{ij}(u)$ and further we consider that the Poisson bracket $\{I, J\}_2$ is constant and has the form

$$\{I, J\}_2 = \int \frac{\delta I}{\delta u^i(x)} \eta^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta J}{\delta u^j(x)} dx, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\eta^{ij} = \eta^{ji}$, $\eta^{ij} = \text{const}$, $\det(\eta^{ij}) \neq 0$. In the sequel, in the considered flat coordinates, we use also the covariant metric η_{ij} , which is inverse to the contravariant metric η^{ij} : $\eta^{is} \eta_{sj} = \delta_j^i$.

Theorem 4.1 ([27]) *An arbitrary nonlocal Poisson bracket $\{I, J\}_1$ of form (3.1) (may be degenerate) is compatible with the constant Poisson bracket (4.1) if and only if it has the form*

$$\{I, J\}_1 = \int \frac{\delta I}{\delta u^i(x)} \left(\left[\eta^{is} \frac{\partial H^j}{\partial u^s} + \eta^{js} \frac{\partial H^i}{\partial u^s} - K_1 u^i u^j \right] \frac{d}{dx} + \right. \\ \left. \left[\eta^{is} \frac{\partial^2 H^j}{\partial u^s \partial u^k} - K_1 \delta_k^i u^j \right] u_x^k + K_1 u_x^i \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} u_x^j \right) \frac{\delta J}{\delta u^j(x)} dx, \quad (4.2)$$

where $H^i(u)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, are smooth functions defined in a certain domain of local coordinates.

In the flat case of compatible Dubrovin–Novikov brackets ($K_1 = 0$), the corresponding statement was formulated and proved by the present author in [32]–[34] (see also the conditions on flat pencils of metrics in [9]).

5 Integrable equations for canonical compatible pairs of brackets

Theorem 5.1 ([27]) *An arbitrary nonlocal bracket of form (4.2) (may be degenerate) is a Poisson bracket if and only if the following equations are satisfied:*

$$\frac{\partial^2 H^i}{\partial u^k \partial u^s} \eta^{sp} \frac{\partial^2 H^j}{\partial u^p \partial u^l} = \frac{\partial^2 H^j}{\partial u^k \partial u^s} \eta^{sp} \frac{\partial^2 H^i}{\partial u^p \partial u^l}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\eta^{ir} \frac{\partial H^s}{\partial u^r} + \eta^{sr} \frac{\partial H^i}{\partial u^r} - K_1 u^i u^s \right) \eta^{jp} \frac{\partial^2 H^k}{\partial u^p \partial u^s} = \\ & \left(\eta^{jr} \frac{\partial H^s}{\partial u^r} + \eta^{sr} \frac{\partial H^j}{\partial u^r} - K_1 u^j u^s \right) \eta^{ip} \frac{\partial^2 H^k}{\partial u^p \partial u^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

In the flat case ($K_1 = 0$), the corresponding theorem was obtained by the present author in [33], where was also stated the conjecture on the integrability of system (5.1), (5.2) for $K_1 = 0$ by the method of the inverse scattering problem. This conjecture was proved by the author in the works [14], [15], [17] (see also [18], where a Lax pair for system (5.1), (5.2) was indicated). The corresponding general conditions on flat pencils of metrics were indicated in [9].

It is obvious that any set of N linear functions $H^i(u) = a_k^i u^k + a^i$, where a_k^i is an arbitrary constant matrix, $a_k^i = \text{const}$, $a^i = \text{const}$, is always a solution of the nonlinear system (5.1), (5.2) and, consequently, always generates a corresponding canonical pair of compatible Poisson brackets (4.1), (4.2) (see [27]).

In the flat case (for $K_1 = 0$), a set of N quadratic functions $H^i(u) = c_{jk}^i u^j u^k$, where $c_{jk}^i = c_{kj}^i$, $c_{jk}^i = \text{const}$, is a solution of nonlinear system (5.1), (5.2) if and only if the structural constants $a_k^{ij} = \eta^{is} c_{sk}^j$ satisfy the relations (see [10])

$$a_s^{ij} a_l^{sk} = a_s^{ik} a_l^{sj}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$(a_l^{is} + a_l^{si}) a_s^{jk} = (a_l^{js} + a_l^{sj}) a_s^{ik}, \quad (5.4)$$

which are equivalent to the condition that N -dimensional algebra with basis $e^1, \dots, e^N$ and multiplication

$$e^i \cdot e^j = a_k^{ij} e^k$$

is a Novikov algebra, i.e., the identity

$$(e^i \cdot e^j) \cdot e^k = (e^i \cdot e^k) \cdot e^j, \quad (5.5)$$

$$e^i \cdot (e^j \cdot e^k) - (e^i \cdot e^j) \cdot e^k = e^j \cdot (e^i \cdot e^k) - (e^j \cdot e^i) \cdot e^k \quad (5.6)$$

are fulfilled (see [10]). Moreover, the invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$(e^i, e^j) = \eta^{ij}$$

is defined on this Novikov algebra, i.e.,

$$(e^i \cdot e^j, e^k) = (e^i, e^k \cdot e^j), \quad (e^i, e^j) = (e^j, e^i).$$

Thus, in this case, we get a special class of quasi-Frobenius algebras, namely, exactly the class of left-symmetric quasi-Frobenius algebras describing linear one-dimensional local Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type (see [4]).

Lemma 5.1 *The condition of left-symmetry (5.6) in an arbitrary algebra $\mathcal{F}$ with multiplication $e^i \cdot e^j = f_k^{ij} e^k$ and identity (1.1) (and consequently in any quasi-Frobenius algebra) is equivalent to the condition that the symmetric bilinear form*

$$\langle e^i, e^j \rangle = (f_k^{ij} + f_k^{ji}) u^k \quad (5.7)$$

is invariant for any $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$, i.e.,

$$\langle e^i \cdot e^j, e^k \rangle = \langle e^i, e^k \cdot e^j \rangle. \quad (5.8)$$

The parameters $u^1, \dots, u^N$ realize a deformation of the invariant form.

In the general case of compatible metrics of constant Riemannian curvature, it is interesting to study algebraic structures related to sets of N cubic functions $H^i(u) = c_{jkl}^i u^j u^k u^l$, where $c_{jkl}^i = c_{kjl}^i = c_{jlk}^i$, $c_{jkl}^i = \text{const}$. Such a set of cubic functions is a solution of nonlinear system (5.1), (5.2) if and only if for the structural constants $a_{kl}^{ij} = \eta^{is} c_{skl}^j$, $a_{kl}^{ij} = a_{lk}^{ij}$, the following relations are satisfied:

$$a_{ms}^{ki} a_{nl}^{sj} - a_{ns}^{kj} a_{ml}^{si} + a_{ns}^{ki} a_{ml}^{sj} - a_{ms}^{kj} a_{nl}^{si} = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\sum_{[l,m,n]} [(3a_{mn}^{is} + 3a_{mn}^{si} - K_1 \delta_m^i \delta_n^s) a_{ls}^{jk} - (3a_{mn}^{js} + 3a_{mn}^{sj} - K_1 \delta_m^j \delta_n^s) a_{ls}^{ik}] = 0, \quad (5.10)$$

where $\sum_{[l,m,n]}$ means summation over all permutations of the indices l, m, n . In this case, the identity

$$(a * b) * c = (a * c) * b \quad (5.11)$$

is fulfilled in N -dimensional algebra $\mathcal{C}(u)$ with basis $e^1, \dots, e^N$ and multiplication $e^i * e^j = a_{lk}^{ij} u^l e^k$ for any $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$, and, moreover, this algebra $\mathcal{C}(u)$ possesses two invariant symmetric bilinear forms $(e^i, e^j) = \eta^{ij}$ and

$$\langle e^i, e^j \rangle = 3(a_{kl}^{ij} + a_{kl}^{ji}) u^k u^l - K_1 u^i u^j, \quad (5.12)$$

i.e.,

$$(e^i * e^j, e^k) = (e^i, e^k * e^j), \quad \langle e^i * e^j, e^k \rangle = \langle e^i, e^k * e^j \rangle.$$

Thus, in this case, we get N -parametric deformations of quasi-Frobenius algebras $(\mathcal{C}(u), (\cdot, \cdot))$ and $(\mathcal{C}(u), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

Theorem 5.2 ([25], [26]) *The system of nonlinear equations (5.1), (5.2) is consistent and integrable by the method of the inverse scattering problem.*

Note that the system of nonlinear equations that was found and integrated in [25], [26] is equivalent to system (5.1), (5.2) and, consequently, also describes compatible nonlocal Poisson brackets of form (2.1), but in different special local coordinates, which are much more convenient for the integration (the metrics of both compatible brackets are diagonal in these coordinates). In the flat case ($K_1 = 0$), the corresponding system in special “diagonal” local coordinates was integrated by the author in [14], [15].

6 Quasi-Frobenius algebras and their integrable deformations

Consider the Poisson bracket (4.2) defining the canonical pair of compatible brackets and for any $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$ define an algebra $\mathcal{A}(u)$ in N -dimensional vector space with basis $e^1, \dots, e^N$ and multiplication

$$e^i \circ e^j = \eta^{is} \frac{\partial^2 H^j}{\partial u^s \partial u^k} e^k. \quad (6.1)$$

Moreover, define a symmetric bilinear form (“a metric of constant Riemannian curvature K_1 ”)

$$\langle e^i, e^j \rangle = g_1^{ij}(u) \quad (6.2)$$

on the algebra $\mathcal{A}(u)$, i.e.,

$$\langle e^i, e^j \rangle = \eta^{is} \frac{\partial H^j}{\partial u^s} + \eta^{js} \frac{\partial H^i}{\partial u^s} - K_1 u^i u^j. \quad (6.3)$$

Then equations (5.1) mean that the identity

$$(e^i \circ e^j) \circ e^k = (e^i \circ e^k) \circ e^j \quad (6.4)$$

is fulfilled in the algebra $\mathcal{A}(u)$, and equations (5.2) are equivalent to the identity

$$\langle e^i \circ e^j, e^k \rangle = \langle e^i, e^k \circ e^j \rangle. \quad (6.5)$$

Thus, any solution of the integrable nonlinear system (5.1), (5.2) defines a quasi-Frobenius algebra $\mathcal{A}(u)$ with the multiplication $a \circ b$ and the invariant symmetric bilinear form $\langle a, b \rangle$ for any fixed $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$, and system (5.1), (5.2) defines an N -parametric deformation of this quasi-Frobenius algebra. Note that also there is always a nondeformed invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (“a flat metric”)

$$(e^i, e^j) = \eta^{ij}, \quad (e^i \circ e^j, e^k) = (e^i, e^k \circ e^j) \quad (6.6)$$

on the constructed quasi-Frobenius algebra $\mathcal{A}(u)$. Thus, the compatible metrics of constant Riemannian curvature η^{ij} and $\eta^{is}\frac{\partial H^j}{\partial u^s} + \eta^{js}\frac{\partial H^i}{\partial u^s} - K_1 u^i u^j$ define two “compatible” quasi-Frobenius algebras $(\mathcal{A}(u), (\cdot, \cdot))$ and $(\mathcal{A}(u), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ for any $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$. Quasi-Frobenius structures and quasi-Frobenius manifolds arising in the flat case for $K_1 = 0$ were considered in [10].

Conjecture. The deformations of noncommutative associative algebras, recently found by S.M.Natanzon in [19] (see also [20]) and corresponding to open-closed two-dimensional topological field theories, belong to an integrable class of deformations of noncommutative quasi-Frobenius algebras and are integrable by the method of the inverse scattering problem.

The construction of the corresponding integrable “associative” reductions is a very interesting and important problem.

7 Deformations of Frobenius algebras

Assume that multiplication in the algebra $\mathcal{A}(u)$ is commutative: $e^i \circ e^j = e^j \circ e^i$, i.e.,

$$\eta^{is}\frac{\partial^2 H^j}{\partial u^s \partial u^k} = \eta^{js}\frac{\partial^2 H^i}{\partial u^s \partial u^k}. \quad (7.1)$$

Then there exist $c_{pl} = \text{const}$ such that

$$\eta_{ps}\frac{\partial H^s}{\partial u^l} = \eta_{ls}\frac{\partial H^s}{\partial u^p} + c_{lp} - c_{pl}, \quad (7.2)$$

and, consequently,

$$\frac{\partial(\eta_{ps}H^s + c_{ps}u^s)}{\partial u^l} = \frac{\partial(\eta_{ls}H^s + c_{ls}u^s)}{\partial u^p}. \quad (7.3)$$

Thus, there exists a function $\Phi(u)$ (“a potential”) such that

$$H^i(u) = \eta^{is}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u^s} - \frac{1}{2}(c_{sk} - c_{ks})u^k\right), \quad (7.4)$$

where we use that the symmetric part of the matrix (c_{ks}) can easily be included in “the potential” $\Phi(u)$:

$$\frac{1}{2}(c_{sk} + c_{ks})u^k = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(c_{pr}u^p u^r)}{\partial u^s}.$$

Thus, for the commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}(u)$, we have:

$$e^i \circ e^j = \eta^{is}\eta^{jp}\frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial u^s \partial u^p \partial u^k} e^k, \quad (7.5)$$

$$\langle e^i, e^j \rangle = 2\eta^{is}\eta^{jp}\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial u^s \partial u^p} - K_1 u^i u^j. \quad (7.6)$$

It follows from identities (6.4) and (6.5) that the commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}(u)$ is associative:

$$(e^i \circ e^j) \circ e^k = e^i \circ (e^j \circ e^k), \quad (7.7)$$

and is equipped with an invariant symmetric bilinear form:

$$\langle e^i \circ e^j, e^k \rangle = \langle e^i, e^j \circ e^k \rangle, \quad (7.8)$$

i.e., for any $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$ the algebra $\mathcal{A}(u)$ is Frobenius.

As a result of the reduction (7.4), equations (5.1) assume the form of the Witten–Dijkgraaf–Verlinde–Verlinde–Dubrovin equations of associativity (see [9], [35]–[38]):

$$\frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial u^k \partial u^i \partial u^s} \eta^{sp} \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial u^p \partial u^j \partial u^l} = \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial u^k \partial u^j \partial u^s} \eta^{sp} \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial u^p \partial u^i \partial u^l}, \quad (7.9)$$

and equations (5.2) have the following form in this case:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial u^i \partial u^s} - \frac{K_1}{2} \eta_{ir} \eta_{sl} u^r u^l \right) \eta^{sp} \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial u^p \partial u^j \partial u^k} = \\ & \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial u^j \partial u^s} - \frac{K_1}{2} \eta_{jr} \eta_{sl} u^r u^l \right) \eta^{sp} \frac{\partial^3 \Phi}{\partial u^p \partial u^i \partial u^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

Note that in the flat case (for $K_1 = 0$) all Dubrovin's potentials $\Phi(u)$ corresponding to two-dimensional topological field theories are always solutions for both corresponding equations (7.9) and (7.10) with $K_1 = 0$ (see [9]).

References

- [1] Gelfand I.M., Dorfman I.Ya. Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures associated with them. Funkts. Anal. Pril. 1979. Vol. 13, No. 4. P. 13–30; English transl. in Funct. Anal. Appl. 1979. Vol. 13, No. 4. P. 246–262.
- [2] Gelfand I.M., Dorfman I.Ya. Hamiltonian operators and infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Funkts. Anal. Pril. 1981. Vol. 15, No. 3. P. 23–40; English transl. in Funct. Anal. Appl. 1981. Vol. 15, No. 3. P. 173–187.
- [3] Dorfman I. Dirac structures and integrability of nonlinear evolution equations. Chichester, England. Wiley (1993).
- [4] Balinskii A.A., Novikov S.P. Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, Frobenius algebras and Lie algebras. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 1985. Vol. 283, No. 5. P. 1036–1039; English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. 1985. Vol. 32. P. 228–231.

- [5] Novikov S.P. The geometry of conservative systems of hydrodynamic type. The method of averaging for field-theoretical systems. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 1985. Vol. 40, No. 4. P. 79–89; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys.* 1985. Vol. 40, No. 4. P. 85–98.
- [6] Zelmanov E.I. On a class of local translation-invariant Lie algebras. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* 1987. Vol. 292, No. 6. P. 1294–1297; English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 1987. Vol. 35. P. 216–218.
- [7] Vinberg E.B. Convex homogeneous domains. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* 1961. Vol. 141, No. 3. P. 521–524; English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 1961.
- [8] Mokhov O.I. On Poisson brackets of Dubrovin–Novikov type (DN-brackets). *Funkts. Anal. Pril.* 1988. Vol. 22, No. 4. P. 92–93; English transl. in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 1988. Vol. 22, No. 4. P. 336–338.
- [9] Dubrovin B. Geometry of 2D topological field theories. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1996. Vol. 1620. P. 120–348; E-print. arXiv: hep-th/9407018 (1994).
- [10] Dubrovin B., Zhang Y. Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov–Witten invariants. E-print. arXiv: math.DG/0108160 (2001).
- [11] Mokhov O.I. Compatible Dubrovin–Novikov Hamiltonian operators, Lie derivative and integrable systems of hydrodynamic type. *Proceedings of the International Conference “Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Dynamical Systems.”* Cambridge (England), July 24–30, 2001 (will be published in *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2002); E-print. arXiv: math.DG/0201281 (2002).
- [12] Mokhov O.I. Compatible Dubrovin–Novikov Hamiltonian operators and the Lie derivative. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 2001. Vol. 56, No. 6. P. 161–162; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys.* 2001. Vol. 56, No. 6. P. 1175–1176.
- [13] Dubrovin B. Flat pencils of metrics and Frobenius manifolds. Preprint SISSA 25/98/FM; E-print. arXiv: math.DG/9803106 (1998).
- [14] Mokhov O.I. Integrability of the equations for nonsingular pairs of compatible flat metrics. *Teoretich. i Matematich. Fizika.* 2002. Vol. 130, No. 2. P. 233–250; English transl. in *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics.* 2002. Vol. 130, No. 2. P. 198–212; E-print. arXiv: math.DG/0005081 (2000).
- [15] Mokhov O.I. Flat pencils of metrics and integrable reductions of the Lamé equations. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 2001. Vol. 56, No. 2. P. 221–222; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys.* 2001. Vol. 56, No. 2.
- [16] Mokhov O.I. Integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 2002. Vol. 57, No. 1. P. 157–158; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys.* 2002. Vol. 57, No. 1.

- [17] Mokhov O.I. Compatible and almost compatible pseudo-Riemannian metrics. *Funkts. Anal. Pril.* 2001. Vol. 35, No. 2. P. 24–36; English transl. in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 2001. Vol. 35, No. 2. P. 100–110; E-print. arXiv: math.DG/0005051 (2000).
- [18] Ferapontov E.V. Compatible Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type. E-print. arXiv: math.DG/0005221 (2000).
- [19] Natanzon S.M. Extension cohomological fields theory and noncommutative Frobenius manifolds. E-print. arXiv: math-ph/0206033 (2002).
- [20] Alexeevski A., Natanzon S. Non-commutative extensions of two-dimensional topological field theories and Hurwitz numbers for real algebraic curves. E-print. arXiv: math.GT/0202164 (2002).
- [21] Mokhov O.I. Compatible and almost compatible metrics. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 2000. Vol. 55, No. 4. P. 217–218; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys.* 2000. Vol. 55, No. 4. P. 819–821.
- [22] Dubrovin B.A., Novikov S.P. The Hamiltonian formalism of one-dimensional systems of hydrodynamic type and the Bogolyubov–Whitham averaging method. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* 1983. Vol. 270, No. 4. P. 781–785; English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 1983. Vol. 27. P. 665–669.
- [23] Mokhov O.I., Ferapontov E.V. Non-local Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type related to metrics of constant curvature. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 1990. Vol. 45, No. 3. P. 191–192; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys.* 1990. Vol. 45, No. 3. P. 218–219.
- [24] Magri F. A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation. *J. Math. Phys.* 1978. Vol. 19, No. 5. P. 1156–1162.
- [25] Mokhov O.I. Compatible metrics of constant Riemannian curvature: local geometry, nonlinear equations, and integrability. *Funkts. Anal. Pril.* 2002. Vol. 36, No. 3. P. 36–47; English transl. in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 2002. Vol. 36, No. 3; E-print. arXiv: math.DG/0201280 (2002).
- [26] Mokhov O.I. Lax pair for nonsingular pencils of metrics of constant Riemannian curvature. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 2002. Vol. 57, No. 3. P. 155–156; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys.* 2002. Vol. 57, No. 3.
- [27] Mokhov O.I. Liouville canonical form for compatible nonlocal Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, and integrable hierarchies. E-print. arXiv: math.DG/0201223 (2002).
- [28] Mokhov O.I. Integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies generated by compatible metrics of constant Riemannian curvature. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* 2002. Vol. 57, No. 5; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys.* 2002. Vol. 57, No. 5.

- [29] Mokhov O.I. Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type and constant curvature metrics. *Phys. Letters A*. 1992. Vol. 166, Nos. 3-4. P. 215–216.
- [30] Mokhov O.I. Symplectic and Poisson structures on loop spaces of smooth manifolds, and integrable systems. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*. 1998. Vol. 53, No. 3. P. 85–192; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys*. 1998. Vol. 53, No. 3. P. 515–622.
- [31] Mokhov O.I. Symplectic and Poisson Geometry on Loop Spaces of Smooth Manifolds and Integrable Equations. *Reviews in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*. Eds. S.P.Novikov and I.M.Krichever. 2001. Vol. 11, part 2. Harwood Academic Publishers. P. 1–204.
- [32] Mokhov O.I. On compatible Poisson structures of hydrodynamic type. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*. 1997. Vol. 52, No. 6. P. 171–172; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys*. 1997. Vol. 52, No. 6. P. 1310–1311.
- [33] Mokhov O.I. Compatible Poisson structures of hydrodynamic type and associativity equations. *Trudy Matem. Inst. Akad. Nauk*. 1999. Vol. 225. Moscow. Nauka. P. 284–300; English transl. in *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics (Moscow)*. 1999. Vol. 225. P. 269–284.
- [34] Mokhov O.I. Compatible Poisson structures of hydrodynamic type and the equations of associativity in two-dimensional topological field theory. *Reports on Mathematical Physics*. 1999. Vol. 43, No. 1/2. P. 247–256.
- [35] Witten E. On the structure of topological phase of two-dimensional gravity. *Nucl. Phys. B*. 1990. Vol. 340. P. 281–332.
- [36] Witten E. Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space. *Surveys in Diff. Geometry*. 1991. Vol. 1. P. 243–310.
- [37] Dijkgraaf R., Verlinde H., Verlinde E. Topological strings in $d < 1$. *Nucl. Phys. B*. 1991. Vol. 352. P. 59–86.
- [38] Dubrovin B. Integrable systems in topological field theory. *Nucl. Phys. B*. 1992. Vol. 379. P. 627–689.

Centre for Nonlinear Studies,
 L.D.Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics,
 Russian Academy of Sciences
 e-mail: mokhov@mi.ras.ru, mokhov@landau.ac.ru